## The 31st March, 1983

No. 1D/AMB/204-82/15803.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajinder Kumar and the Management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Kaithal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers-conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab/68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Wether the termination of services of Shri Rajinder Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 5th April, 1983

No. ID/SPT/139-82/16105.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satya Paul and the management of the Executive Engineer, Sub-Urban Division, H. S. E. B. Fazil Pur, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shrì Satya Paul was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/139-82/16112.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of the Executive Engineer, Sub-Urban Division, H. S. E. B., Fazil Pur, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

· V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.

राजस्व विभाग युद्ध जागीर दिनांक 17 मार्च, 1983

त्रमांक 265-ज(I)-83/9358.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार प्रधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में प्रयापा गया है भीर उसमें प्राज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के प्रनुसार कींपे गये प्रधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, श्री नवल सिंह, पुत्र श्री शेर सिंह, गांव नवादा पत्तेपुर, तहसील व जिला गुड़गांवा, की खरीफ़, 1976 से खरीफ़, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत की युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शसों के प्रनुसार सहबं प्रधान करते हैं।